

REMARKS

Claims 1-25 are currently pending in this application. Claims 2, 9, and 16 have been withdrawn. Claims 1, 3-6, 8, 10-13, 15, 17-21, 23, and 25 are rejected and claims 7, 14, 22, and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant cancels claims 1-6, 8-13, 15-21, 23, and 25.

Claims 14 and 24 were indicated as allowable in the first Office action, dated February 9, 2005, and every subsequent Office action. Claims 7 and 22 were indicated as allowable in the second Office action, dated July 25, 2005, and every subsequent Office action. Applicant, however, notes that claims 7 and 22 were not addressed in the detailed action of the first Office action. Applicant submits that the subject matter of claims 7 and 22 were allowable in the first Office action for the same reason as claim 14 is allowable and their exclusion in the detailed action was merely an oversight. Therefore, claims 7, 14, 22, and 24 have been rewritten in independent form to include all of the claim limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims as reflected in the base claims and intervening claims examined in the first Office action.

Based on the foregoing remarks and amendments, Applicant believes that all of the claims in this case are now in condition for allowance and an indication to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: Jun 3, 2007

By: 
Mark R. Hull, Reg. No. 54,753
(216) 622-8419